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#### Abstract

We present a method for computing the minus-part of the Iwasawa $\lambda$-invariant of an Abelian field $K$. Applying this method, we have computed $\lambda^{-}$for several odd primes $p$ when $K$ runs through a large number of quadratic extensions of the $p$ th cyclotomic field. A report on these computations and an analysis of the results is included.


1. Introduction. Let $K$ be an Abelian field, i.e., a finite Abelian extension of $\mathbf{Q}$. For a prime $p>2$, consider the cyclotomic $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$-extension $K_{\infty}$ of $K$. Let $K_{n}(n \geqslant 0)$ denote the intermediate field of $K_{\infty} / K$ which is cyclic of degree $p^{n}$ over $K$. The $p$-part of the class number of $K_{n}$ equals $p^{\lambda n+\nu}$, for all sufficiently large $n$, where $\lambda=\lambda(p)$ and $\nu=\nu(p)$ are integral constants, $\lambda \geqslant 0$. Call $\lambda$ the Iwasawa $\lambda$ invariant of $K$ and write $\lambda=\lambda^{+}+\lambda^{-}$, where $\lambda^{+}$is the corresponding invariant of the maximal real subfield of $K$. In this paper we present a method for computing $\lambda^{-}$, developed by the second author, and report on computer calculations by the first author, performed by this method.

If the conductor $f_{K}$ of the field $K$ is divisible by $p^{2}$, then $K$ has a subfield $L$ such that $p^{2}+f_{L}$ and the cyclotomic $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$-extension of $L$ equals $K_{\infty}$. Hence we assume, without loss of generality, that $p^{2}+f_{K}$. Denote by $\mathrm{Ch}(K)$ the character group of $K$. It is known that $\lambda^{-}$decomposes as

$$
\lambda^{-}=\sum_{x \in X} \lambda_{x}
$$

with

$$
X=X(K)=\left\{\chi \in \operatorname{Ch}(K): \chi(-1)=-1, \chi \neq \omega^{-1}\right\}
$$

where $\omega$ denotes the Teichmüller character $\bmod p$ and $\lambda_{\chi}$ is the $\lambda$-invariant of the Iwasawa power series representing the $p$-adic $L$-function $L_{p}(s, \chi \omega)$.

Thus, the computation of $\lambda^{-}$is reduced to the determination of the components $\lambda_{\chi}$. This will be done in two steps: We first relate $\lambda_{\chi}$ to the $p$-orders of certain generalized Bernoulli numbers and then show how to determine these $p$-orders by means of a series of character sum congruences. As an application we consider the fields $\mathbf{Q}\left(\sqrt{m}, \zeta_{p}\right)$, where $m$ is an integer prime to $p$ and $\zeta_{p}$ denotes a primitive $p$ th root of 1 . In this case the congruences in question are simply rational congruences $\bmod p$.

The computational part of our work consists of the determination of $\lambda^{-}$for quite a large collection of fields $\mathbf{Q}\left(\sqrt{m}, \zeta_{p}\right)$, chosen so that either $p$ or $|m|$ is small. More precisely, we computed for these fields the components $\lambda_{\chi}$ with $\chi=\theta_{m} \omega^{t}$, where $\theta_{m}$ is the quadratic character of the field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m})$; this is sufficient since the $\lambda^{-}$invariants of the cyclotomic subfields $\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)$ are known. Our results also give the $\lambda$-invariant of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ for the negative $m$ in the range under consideration.

There are previous numerical results about $\lambda^{-}$in [1], [2], [3], [4], [6]. These concern mainly quadratic fields and the fields $\mathbf{Q}\left(\sqrt{-1}, \zeta_{p}\right)$ and $\mathbf{Q}\left(\sqrt{-3}, \zeta_{p}\right)$, and in all cases the decomposition of $\lambda^{-}$is simple in the sense that either there is but one positive component $\lambda_{x}$, or all the positive components are equal to 1 . In the present results this is no longer the case.

A detailed description of our computations appears in Sections 7-9.
2. On $p$-Adic $L$-Functions. For the theory of this section, the reader is referred to Washington's book [11], in particular to Sections 5.2 and 7.2.
We fix an embedding of the field of algebraic numbers in an algebraic closure $\Omega_{p}$ of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, the field of $p$-adic numbers. Denote by ord ${ }_{p}$ the $p$-adic valuation on $\Omega_{p}$, normalized so that $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(p)=1$.

Let $\chi$ be a character in $X(K)$ (all characters are assumed primitive). Since the conductor $f_{\chi}$ of $\chi$ divides $f_{K}$, it is not divisible by $p^{2}$; we say that $\chi$ is of the "first kind". Put

$$
f_{\chi}=d \text { or } d p \quad \text { with }(d, p)=1
$$

As in the introduction, let $K_{n}$ denote the $n$th layer of the $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$-extension $K_{\infty} / K$ ( $n \geqslant 0$ ). The character group of $K_{n}$ is of the form $\mathrm{Ch}(K) \times\left\langle\pi_{n}\right\rangle$, where $\pi_{n}$ is a character with order $p^{n}$ and conductor $p^{n+1}$ (or 1 , if $n=0$ ); $\pi_{n}$ is called a character of the "second kind".

Now consider the $p$-adic $L$-function $L_{p}(s, \psi)$ for the (nonprincipal) character $\psi=\chi \omega \pi_{n}$. This function is defined in $\Omega_{p}$ in a neighborhood of 1 containing $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$, the $p$-adic integers, and it has the fundamental property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p}(1-k, \psi)=-\left(1-\psi_{k}(p) p^{k-1}\right) B^{k}\left(\psi_{k}\right) / k \quad(k \geqslant 1) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{k}=\psi \omega^{-k}$ and $B^{k}\left(\psi_{k}\right)$ stands for the $k$ th generalized Bernoulli number attached to the character $\psi_{k}$.

Denote by $\mathbf{Q}_{p}(\chi)$ the extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ generated by the values of $\chi$. Iwasawa's theory of $p$-adic $L$-functions asserts that there exists a power series

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, \chi \omega)=\sum_{J=0}^{\infty} a_{j} x^{J} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose coefficients $a_{J}=a_{J}(\chi)$ are integers of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}(\chi)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p}\left(s, \chi \omega \pi_{n}\right)=f\left(\frac{(1+d p)^{s}}{\pi_{n}(1+d p)}-1, \chi \omega\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the Ferrero-Washington theorem, the power series $f(x, \chi \omega)$ has $\mu=0$, in other words, there is an index $j$ for which $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(a_{j}\right)=0$. The least such $j$ is called the $\lambda$-invariant (or Weierstrass degree) of $f(x, \chi \omega)$. This is the number $\lambda_{\chi}$ introduced in Section 1.
3. The $p$-Orders of Generalized Bernoulli Numbers. Let us decompose $\chi$ as

$$
\chi=\theta \omega^{t-1} \quad \text { with } f_{\theta}=d(\geqslant 1), \quad 1 \leqslant t \leqslant p-1 .
$$

In this section we obtain a relation between $\lambda_{\chi}$ and the $p$-order of $B^{t}\left(\theta \pi_{n}\right)$.
For a fixed $n \geqslant 1$, put

$$
\alpha_{k}=\frac{(1+d p)^{1-k}}{\pi_{n}(1+d p)}-1 \quad(k \geqslant 1)
$$

It follows from (3) and (1) that, for all $t=1, \ldots, p-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\alpha_{t}, \theta \omega^{t}\right) & =L_{p}\left(1-t, \theta \omega^{t} \pi_{n}\right) \\
& =-\left(1-\left(\theta \pi_{n}\right)(p) p^{t-1}\right) B^{t}\left(\theta \pi_{n}\right) / t=-B^{t}\left(\theta \pi_{n}\right) / t
\end{aligned}
$$

By using this result we prove the following proposition in which $\phi$ denotes Euler's totient function and $e$ is the ramification index of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}(\theta) / \mathbf{Q}_{p}$.

Proposition 1. Let $n \geqslant 1$ and $1 \leqslant t \leqslant p-1$. We have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(B^{t}\left(\theta \pi_{n}\right)\right)=\lambda_{\chi} / \phi\left(p^{n}\right)<1 / e & \text { if } \lambda_{\chi}<\phi\left(p^{n}\right) / e, \\
\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(B^{t}\left(\theta \pi_{n}\right)\right) \geqslant 1 / e & \text { if } \lambda_{\chi} \geqslant \phi\left(p^{n}\right) / e .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. We evaluate the $p$-order of $f\left(\alpha_{t}, \theta \omega^{t}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{j} \alpha_{t}^{J}$.
By the definition of $\pi_{n}$, the number $\pi_{n}(1+d p)=\zeta$ is a primitive $p^{n}$ th root of 1 . Since

$$
\alpha_{t}=\frac{1-\zeta(1+d p)^{t-1}}{\zeta(1+d p)^{t-1}}
$$

we have $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\alpha_{t}\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{p}(1-\zeta)=1 / \phi\left(p^{n}\right)$.
As to the $p$-orders of the coefficients $a_{J}$, observe that these are integers of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}(\chi)=\mathbf{Q}_{p}(\theta)$. Therefore, if $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(a_{j}\right)>0$ then $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(a_{j}\right) \geqslant 1 / e$.

Recalling the definition of $\lambda_{\chi}$ we now see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(a_{,} \alpha_{t}^{j}\right) & \geqslant 1 / e & & \text { for } 0 \leqslant j \leqslant \lambda_{\chi}-1, \\
& =j \operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\alpha_{t}\right)=\lambda_{\chi} / \phi\left(p^{n}\right) & & \text { for } j=\lambda_{\chi}, \\
& \geqslant j \operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\alpha_{t}\right)>\lambda_{\chi} / \phi\left(p^{n}\right) & & \text { for } j>\lambda_{\chi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, if $\lambda_{x}<\phi\left(p^{n}\right) / e$, then

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(f\left(\alpha_{t}, \theta \omega^{t}\right)\right)=\lambda_{\chi} / \phi\left(p^{n}\right),
$$

while otherwise this $p$-order is at least $1 / e$. Hence the result.
Proposition 1 gives us the value of $\lambda_{\chi}$, once we know $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(B^{t}\left(\theta \pi_{n}\right)\right)$ for a sufficiently large $n$. For later purposes it is convenient to reformulate this proposition, actually in a bit weaker form, as follows.

Note that the congruence $\alpha \equiv \beta\left(\bmod p^{r}\right)$ in $\Omega_{p}$ means that $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\alpha-\beta) \geqslant r$.
Proposition 2. Let $n \geqslant 1$ and $1 \leqslant t \leqslant p-1$. Assume that $h \in \mathbf{Z}, 1 \leqslant h \leqslant$ $\phi\left(p^{n}\right) / e$. Then

$$
\lambda_{x} \geqslant h \text { if and only if } B^{t}\left(\theta \pi_{n}\right) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{h / \phi\left(p^{n}\right)}\right) .
$$

Proof. Suppose that the above congruence holds. If $\lambda_{\chi}<\phi\left(p^{n}\right) / e$, then a comparison of this congruence with the first part of Proposition 1 shows that $\lambda_{\chi} \geqslant h$. If $\lambda_{\chi} \geqslant \phi\left(p^{n}\right) / e$, then the assertion follows directly from the assumption made about $h$.

To verify the converse, apply both parts of Proposition 1 separately.
Remark. Proposition 2 is of the same kind as the main result in the second author's paper [8]. This relates $\lambda_{\chi}$ to certain Kummer type congruences of $B^{k}(\theta)$, provided $\lambda_{\chi} \leqslant p-1$. Proposition 2 would enable one to replace the proof presented in [8] by a somewhat simpler proof.
4. Bernoulli Numbers and Character Sums. We now express the residue of $B^{t}\left(\theta \pi_{n}\right)$ modulo $p$ in terms of suitable character sums.

For any character $\psi$ with conductor $f$ we have, in the usual symbolic notation,

$$
B^{k}(\psi)=\frac{1}{f} \sum_{a=1}^{f} \psi(a)(f B+a-f)^{k} \quad(k \geqslant 0)
$$

(e.g., [7, p. 134]), where the $B^{m}$ denote ordinary Bernoulli numbers. On changing the summation variable $a$ into $f-a$ we obtain

$$
B^{k}(\psi)=\frac{(-1)^{k} \psi(-1)}{f} \sum_{a=1}^{f} \psi(a)(a-f B)^{k}
$$

Let $\psi=\theta \pi_{n}$ with $n \geqslant 1$. Then $\psi(-1)=(-1)^{t}$ since the character $\chi=\theta \omega^{t-1}$ is odd and $\pi_{n}$, being of $p$-power order, is even. Hence we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
B^{t}\left(\theta \pi_{n}\right) & =\frac{1}{d p^{n+1}} \sum_{a=1}^{d p^{n+1}}\left(\theta \pi_{n}\right)(a)\left(a-d p^{n+1} B\right)^{t} \\
& \equiv \frac{1}{d p^{n+1}} \sum_{a=1}^{d p^{n+1}}\left(\theta \pi_{n}\right)(a) a^{t}-t B^{1} \sum_{a=1}^{d p^{n+1}}\left(\theta \pi_{n}\right)(a) a^{t-1}(\bmod p)
\end{aligned}
$$

The second sum of the last expression vanishes $\bmod p$, as can be verified again by the transformation $a \rightarrow d p^{n+1}-a$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{t}\left(\theta \pi_{n}\right) \equiv \frac{1}{d p^{n+1}} \sum_{a=1}^{d p^{n+1}}\left(\theta \pi_{n}\right)(a) a^{t}(\bmod p) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this result we derive the following congruence which is of the same type as the classical Voronoĭ congruence for ordinary Bernoulli numbers. We point out that the congruence (in a sharper form) has also been proved by Slavutskiĭ [9, congr. (6)].

Proposition 3. Let b be a positive rational integer with $(b, d p)=1$. Then

$$
\left(b^{t}-\left(\theta \pi_{n}\right)(b)^{-1}\right) B^{t}\left(\theta \pi_{n}\right) \equiv t b^{t-1} \sum_{a=1}^{d p^{n+1}}\left(\theta \pi_{n}\right)(a) a^{t-1}\left[\frac{b a}{d p^{n+1}}\right](\bmod p)
$$

where, as in the above, $n \geqslant 1$ and $1 \leqslant t \leqslant p-1$.
Proof. Put $\psi=\theta \pi_{n}$. Let $a$ and $b$ be positive rational integers prime to $d p$. Keeping $b$ fixed, we write

$$
b a=d p^{n+1}\left[\frac{b a}{d p^{n+1}}\right]+r_{a}, \quad 0<r_{a}<d p^{n+1}
$$

On raising this equation to the $t$ th power and multiplying by $\psi(a)=\psi(b)^{-1} \psi\left(r_{a}\right)$, we get

$$
\psi(a) b^{t} a^{t} \equiv \psi(b)^{-1} \psi\left(r_{a}\right) r_{a}^{t}+\psi(a) t r_{a}^{t-1} d p^{n+1}\left[\frac{b a}{d p^{n+1}}\right]\left(\bmod p^{2 n+2}\right)
$$

If $a$ runs through $1, \ldots, d p^{n+1}$, excepting those numbers for which $(a, d p)>1$, then so does $r_{a}$. Summing over $a$ we find that (observe that $\psi(a)=0$ if $(a, d p)>1$ )

$$
\left(b^{t}-\psi(b)^{-1}\right) \sum_{a=1}^{d p^{n+1}} \psi(a) a^{t} \equiv t d p^{n+1} \sum_{a=1}^{d p^{n+1}} \psi(a) r_{a}^{t-1}\left[\frac{b a}{d p^{n+1}}\right]\left(\bmod p^{2 n+2}\right)
$$

Since $r_{a} \equiv b a\left(\bmod p^{n+1}\right)$, this result together with (4) yields the claimed congruence.
5. The Main Result. Every rational integer $a$ prime to $p$ has the following unique representation $\bmod p^{n+1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \equiv \omega(a)(1+p)^{v(a)}\left(\bmod p^{n+1}\right), \quad 0 \leqslant v(a)<p^{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $b \in \mathbf{Z},(b, d p)=1$, put

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n k}=S_{n k}(b)=\sum_{v(a)=k} \theta(a) a^{t-1}\left[\frac{b a}{d p^{n+1}}\right] \quad\left(k=0, \ldots, p^{n}-1\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is extended over those numbers $a$ for which $1 \leqslant a<d p^{n+1},(a, d p)$ $=1$ and $v(a)=k$. Moreover, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{u}=T_{u}^{(n)}=\sum_{k=u}^{p^{n}-1}\binom{k}{u} S_{n k} \quad\left(u=0, \ldots, p^{n}-1\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem. Let $\chi=\theta \omega^{t-1} \in X(K)$, where $f_{\theta}=d$ is prime to $p$ and $1 \leqslant t \leqslant p-1$. Let $b$ be a positive integer such that

$$
(b, d p)=1, \quad \theta(b) b^{t} \not \equiv 1(\bmod \mathfrak{p})
$$

where $\mathfrak{p}$ is the maximal ideal of the ring of integers of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}(\theta)$. Denote by e the ramification index of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}(\theta) / \mathbf{Q}_{p}$. Let $n \geqslant 1$ and let $h \in \mathbf{Z}, 1 \leqslant h \leqslant \phi\left(p^{n}\right) / e$. With the above notations,

$$
\lambda_{\chi} \geqslant h \quad \text { if and only if } T_{0}^{(n)} \equiv T_{1}^{(n)} \equiv \cdots \equiv T_{h-1}^{(n)} \equiv 0(\bmod \mathfrak{p})
$$

Proof. Since the nonzero values of $\pi_{n}$ are $p^{n}$ th roots of 1 , we have $\pi_{n}(b) \equiv 1$ $(\bmod \mathfrak{p})$. Hence

$$
b^{t}-\left(\theta \pi_{n}\right)(b)^{-1} \not \equiv 0(\bmod \mathfrak{p})
$$

and it follows from Propositions 2 and 3 that

$$
\lambda_{\chi} \geqslant h \quad \text { if and only if } \sum_{a=1}^{d p^{n+1}} \theta(a) \pi_{n}(a) a^{t-1}\left[\frac{b a}{d p^{n+1}}\right] \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{h \kappa}\right)
$$

where $\kappa=1 / \phi\left(p^{n}\right)$.
For a fixed $n \geqslant 1$, write

$$
\pi_{n}(1+p)=1+\eta
$$

Then we have $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\eta)=\kappa$ and, by (5),

$$
\pi_{n}(a)=(1+\eta)^{v(a)} \text { for } p+a
$$

Consequently,

$$
\sum_{a=1}^{d p^{n+1}} \theta(a) \pi_{n}(a) a^{t-1}\left[\frac{b a}{d p^{n+1}}\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{p^{n}-1}(1+\eta)^{k} S_{n k}=\sum_{u=0}^{p^{n}-1} T_{u} \eta^{u}
$$

and we are done, once the congruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u=0}^{p^{n}-1} T_{u} \eta^{u} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{h \kappa}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is shown to be equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0} \equiv T_{1} \equiv \cdots \equiv T_{h-1} \equiv 0(\bmod \mathfrak{p}) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that the congruences (9) hold true. Then these congruences are satisfied $\bmod p^{1 / e}$ as well, and so $\bmod p^{h \kappa}$ since $1 / e \geqslant h / \phi\left(p^{n}\right)=h \kappa$. Moreover, $\eta^{u} \equiv 0$ $\left(\bmod p^{h \kappa}\right)$ whenever $u \geqslant h$. This proves (8). The converse implication is established with similar arguments by induction on $h$.

The above theorem enables us to determine $\lambda_{\chi}$, once the numbers $T_{u}^{(n)}$ modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ are known for a sufficiently large $n$. We state this more explicitly as follows.

Corollary. Put $z_{n}=\left[\phi\left(p^{n}\right) / e\right]$. With the notations of the theorem,
(i) if $T_{0}^{(n)} \equiv T_{1}^{(n)} \equiv \cdots \equiv T_{h-1}^{(n)} \equiv 0$ and $T_{h}^{(n)} \not \equiv 0(\bmod \mathfrak{p})$, where $0 \leqslant h \leqslant z_{n}-$ 1 , then $\lambda_{x}=h$;
(ii) if $T_{0}^{(n)} \equiv T_{1}^{(n)} \equiv \cdots \equiv T_{z_{n}-1}^{(n)} \equiv 0(\bmod \mathfrak{p})$, then $\lambda_{\chi} \geqslant z_{n}$.
6. A Special Case. Suppose that $\theta=\theta_{m}$ is the nontrivial character of the quadratic field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m})$, where $m$ is prime to $p$. Then the character $\chi=\theta \omega^{t-1}$ dealt with in the previous sections belongs to the character group of the field $\mathbf{Q}\left(\sqrt{m}, \zeta_{p}\right)$. Note that $f_{\theta}=d$ equals the absolute value of the discriminant of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m})$.

In this case, $\mathbf{Q}_{p}\left(\theta_{m}\right)=\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, so that $e=1$ and $p=p \mathbf{Z}_{p}$. Hence we can determine $\lambda_{\chi}$, provided it does not exceed $p-2$, through the numbers $T_{u}=T_{u}^{(1)}$ as follows (see the corollary):

If $T_{0} \equiv T_{1} \equiv \cdots \equiv T_{h-1} \equiv 0, T_{h} \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, where $0 \leqslant h \leqslant p-2$, then $\lambda_{\chi}=$ $h$.

If this criterion fails, then the computation of $\lambda_{\chi}$ requires passing to a higher level, i.e., computing $T_{u}^{(n)} \bmod p$ for a higher value of $n$.

Remark. As is seen from (5), working on a level $n$ involves computations with integers $\bmod p^{n+1}$. We point out that, for $n=1$, the congruence (5) can be written as

$$
a \equiv a^{p}(1+v(a) p)\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
$$

Thus, $v(a) \equiv-q_{a}(\bmod p)$, where $q_{a}$ denotes the Fermat quotient for $a$, defined by $q_{a} \equiv\left(a^{p-1}-1\right) / p(\bmod p), 0 \leqslant q_{a}<p$.
7. Numerical Results. Consider, for a moment, the case of the cyclotomic field $\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)$. Then $X=\left\{\omega, \omega^{3}, \ldots, \omega^{p-4}\right\}$ and it is known that

$$
\lambda_{\chi}>0 \quad \text { with } \chi=\omega^{t-1} \quad \text { if and only if } \quad B^{t} \equiv 0(\bmod p)
$$

$(t=2,4, \ldots, p-3)$. The values of $\lambda_{\chi}$ have been computed for $p<125000$ [10]; it has turned out that in this range every positive value of $\lambda_{x}$ equals 1 . So the $\lambda^{-}$-invariant of $\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)$, say $\lambda_{0}^{-}$, equals the index of irregularity of $p$, i.e., the number of irregular pairs ( $p, t$ ). Tables of irregular pairs can be found in many books, e.g., [11].

Now let us enlarge the field to $K=\mathbf{Q}\left(\sqrt{m}, \zeta_{p}\right)$ with $p+m$. Then the character set $X$ is enlarged by the characters $\theta_{m} \omega^{t-1}$ discussed in Section 6. To be precise, we have

$$
\lambda^{-}=\lambda_{0}^{-}+\sum_{x} \lambda_{x}
$$

where the sum is extended over the characters

$$
\chi=\theta \omega^{t-1} \quad \text { with } \begin{cases}t=2,4, \ldots, p-1 & \text { if } m>0  \tag{10}\\ t=1,3, \ldots, p-2 & \text { if } m<0\end{cases}
$$

$\theta=\theta_{m}$ being the quadratic character of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m})$. If $m<0$, the component $\lambda_{\theta}$ is just the $\lambda$-invariant of this quadratic field.

The actual computations associated with the present work comprised the determination of $\lambda_{\chi}$ for the characters (10) when $p$ and $m$ range through the following values ( $m$ squarefree):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p=3 \quad \text { and } \quad-3235 \leqslant m \leqslant 3454, * \\
& p=5 \quad \text { and } \quad-5000<m \leqslant 3147, \\
& p=7 \quad \text { and } \quad-3002 \leqslant m<1000, \\
& p=11 \quad \text { and } \quad-1000<m<500, \\
& 11<p<200 \quad \text { and } \quad m=-7,-3,-2,-1,2,5 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The asterisk above indicates that for a few values of $m$ the computation was stopped at the result $\lambda_{x} \geqslant 6$ (see below).

The numerical material thus obtained contains about 22000 values of $\lambda_{\chi}$, some 6400 of them being positive. Samples from this material are exhibited in Tables 1 and 2 of the appendix. Table 1 presents the results for $p=5, m>0$, and Table 2 for $p<200, m=-1, \pm 2,-3,5,-7$. Note that every odd prime $p$ below 200 really appears in Table 2, i.e., to every $p$ there is at least one $m$ and $t$ such that $\lambda_{\chi}>0$ for $\chi=\theta_{m} \omega^{t-1}$.

For $p>3$, only few cases were found in which $\lambda_{\chi}>p-2$. These cases, which had to be settled on the level $n=2$, are listed here:

| $p$ | $m$ | $t$ | $\lambda_{\chi}$ | $p$ | $m$ | $t$ | $\lambda_{\chi}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 439 | 4 | 4 | 5 | -3178 | 1 | 4 |
| 5 | 1427 | 4 | 4 | 5 | -3471 | 1 | 4 |
| 5 | -311 | 1 | 4 | 5 | -3547 | 3 | 4 |
| 5 | -761 | 1 | 4 | 5 | -3923 | 3 | 4 |
| 5 | -966 | 1 | 4 | 5 | -4026 | 1 | 5 |
| 5 | -2861 | 3 | 4 | 5 | -4774 | 1 | 4 |
| 5 | -3081 | 1 | 4 | 7 | -1371 | 1 | 7 |

For $p=7$ it in fact turned out that $\lambda_{x}$ varies between 0 and 4 (assuming all values $0, \ldots, 4$ ) except in the single case given above. For $p=11$ we have the maximum $\lambda_{\chi}=3$ for $m=-723, t=1$.

If $p=3$, then $\lambda_{x}>1(=p-2)$ in about a third of the cases. These could be settled on the level $n=2$ (i.e., $\lambda_{x} \leqslant 5$ ), except in six cases. In the latter cases the continuation of the procedure was given up since the values of $\lambda_{\chi}$ can be found in [6]; they are as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{\chi}=6 & \text { for } m=-239,-1022,-1427,-1777 \\
\lambda_{\chi}=7 & \text { for } m=-458, \\
\lambda_{\chi}=8 & \text { for } m=-2789 .
\end{array}
$$

An examination of the results shows that the values of $\lambda_{x}$ seem to be distributed in the expected way. For example, if we keep $p$ and $t$ fixed, $t \neq 1$, and let $m$ vary, then the number of cases with $\lambda_{\chi} \geqslant k$ (for $\chi=\theta_{m} \omega^{t-1}$ and $k \geqslant 0$ ) should be about a $p^{k}$ th part of the number of all $\lambda_{\chi}$; this corresponds to the natural hypothesis that the coefficients of the power series $f(x, \chi \omega)$ are randomly distributed $\bmod p$. In the following table, $N_{k}$ denotes the number of $\lambda_{\chi} \geqslant k$ in our range:

| $p$ | $t$ | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | $N_{1} / N_{0}$ | $1 / p$ | $N_{2} / N_{0}$ | $1 / p^{2}$ | $N_{3} / N_{0}$ | $1 / p^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2 | 1577 | 553 | 172 | 50 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.032 | 0.037 |
| 5 | 2 | 1596 | 326 | 55 | 9 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.034 | 0.040 | 0.006 | 0.008 |
| 5 | 4 | 1596 | 329 | 68 | 15 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.043 | 0.040 | 0.009 | 0.008 |
| 5 | 3 | 2535 | 490 | 88 | 14 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.035 | 0.040 | 0.006 | 0.008 |
| 7 | 3 | 1599 | 221 | 29 |  | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.018 | 0.020 |  |  |
| 7 | 5 | 1599 | 256 | 39 |  | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.024 | 0.020 |  |  |

If $t=1$, the situation is different. Indeed, by Eqs. (1)-(3) the constant term of $f(x, \chi \omega)$ equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=(\chi(p)-1) B^{1}(\chi) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence, in the present case $\lambda_{\chi}$ is positive whenever $\chi(p)=\theta_{m}(p)=+1$. We must therefore modify the above hypothesis so as to concern those $f\left(x, \theta_{m} \omega\right)$ only for which $\theta_{m}(p)=-1$. We tested this hypothesis for $p=5, m>0$, obtaining the following ( $N_{k}^{\prime}$ denotes the number of $\lambda_{x} \geqslant k$ when $\theta_{m}(5)=-1$ ):

$$
N_{0}^{\prime}=1268, \quad N_{1}^{\prime}=241, \quad N_{2}^{\prime}=36 ; \quad N_{1}^{\prime} / N_{0}^{\prime}=0.19, \quad N_{2}^{\prime} / N_{0}^{\prime}=0.028
$$

We may also ask how often $\lambda^{-}$is, say, positive as $p$ is fixed and $|m|$ increases. If $p \leqslant 11$, then $\lambda_{0}^{-}=0$, and so $\lambda^{-}>0$ exactly when at least one of the $s=(p-1) / 2$ numbers $T_{0}$ corresponding to the characters $\theta_{m} \omega^{t-1}$ vanishes $\bmod p$. To avoid the exceptional case $t=1$, consider positive $m$ only. Then it is again natural to assume that the values of $T_{0}$ be randomly distributed $\bmod p$, and this implies that the proportion of the number of fields with $\lambda^{-}>0$ to the number of all fields should be about $\rho_{p}=1-\left(1-p^{-1}\right)^{s}$. Below is a comparison between the observed and expected values of this proportion:

| $p$ | observed proportion | $\rho_{p}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $587 / 1596=0.37$ | 0.36 |
| 7 | $204 / 530=0.38$ | 0.37 |
| 11 | $100 / 279=0.36$ | 0.38 |

A table including all the results of our computations has been deposited in the UMT file; see Review 29 in this issue.
8. Comparison with Previous Results. We next describe the contents of the previously published tables about $\lambda^{-}$. These tables were used by us to check our results.

Gold [3], [4] has computed, for $p=3,5,7,11$, the $\lambda$-invariant of the quadratic field with discriminant $-d<0$. His results in [4, Table 2] cover the range $0<d \leqslant 264$. They agree completely with ours, and so do also the additional results presented in [3, Tables 2 and 5] after the following apparent errors are corrected: In Table 2, the value 1253 for $d$ should be 1263 (corresponding to the given class number 20); in

Table 5 , lines 5 and 6 , instead of $\lambda=3$ and $\lambda=4$ one should read $\lambda=2$. The latter correction is confirmed not only by [6] quoted below, but also by Corollary 5 in [3]. The expressions for $e_{n}$ in Table 5 should be correspondingly corrected.

Kobayashi [6] investigates, for $p=3$, the power series $f(x, \chi \omega)$ with $\chi=\theta_{m}$ and $\chi=\theta_{m} \omega$. He has determined the coefficients $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{8} \bmod 9$ of this power series for $-10^{4}<m<0$ and $0<3 m<10^{4}$. From his table one can read the value of $\lambda_{\chi}$, since in all cases $\lambda_{\chi} \leqslant 8$. Note that for $\chi=\theta_{m}$ the table is far more extensive than ours, while for $\chi=\theta_{m} \omega$ our computations go a bit farther. The overlapping parts of both tables are in agreement, except that the table in [6] omits the first negative $m$ with $\lambda_{\chi}>0$, namely $m=-2$. The nonvanishing of $\lambda_{\chi}$ in this case follows, by (11), from the fact that $\chi(3)=\theta_{-2}(3)=+1$. Our computation indeed shows, in agreement with [4], that $\lambda_{\chi}=1$.

The first author has determined, for $p<10^{4}$, the components $\lambda_{\chi}$ with $\chi=\theta_{m} \omega^{t-1}$ for $m=-1$ and $m=-3$ (see [2] and [1], respectively). For $t=3,5, \ldots, p-2$, one has in this range $\lambda_{\chi}=1$ if $(p, t-1)$ is an $E$-irregular or $D$-irregular pair, respectively, and $\lambda_{\chi}=0$ otherwise. A comparison of the tables in [1] and [2] with the present Table 2 shows no discrepancies.

The paper [5] by Hao and Parry tabulates the " $m$-irregular" primes $p<5025$ for the values of $m$ that appear in our Table 2. For a fixed $m$, the prime $p$ is $m$-irregular if and only if there is at least one $t>1$ such that $\lambda_{\chi}>0$ with $\chi=\theta_{m} \omega^{t-1}$. It is easily checked that, for $p<200$, the lists given in [5] coincide with the corresponding lists extracted from Table 2. Our computations show the somewhat interesting fact that every positive value of $\lambda_{\chi}$ in this region in fact equals 1 , except for a single value $\lambda_{\chi}=2$ occurring for $p=23$ and $\chi=\theta_{-2} \omega^{10}$.

Let us finally mention that if $m=-q$, with $q$ a prime, and $\theta_{m}(p)=-1$, then it follows from (11) that $\lambda_{\theta_{m}}>0$ exactly when the class number of the field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-q})$ is divisible by $p$. Thus a partial check of our results is also provided by the class number tables of imaginary quadratic fields.
9. The computations. The computations were run on the DEC- 20 computer at the University of Turku. The programs, written in Fortran, used only integer arithmetic.

As is seen from Sections 5 and 6 , the main task was the computing of the sums $S_{n k}$ (mostly for $n=1$ ). This was started by searching a primitive root $\bmod p$ and constructing the index table. After decomposing $m$ into prime factors, the character values $\theta_{m}(a)$ were calculated via the Legendre symbol, using the congruence

$$
\left(\frac{a}{q}\right) \equiv a^{(q-1) / 2}(\bmod q) \quad(q \text { an odd prime factor of } m)
$$

and then checking that $\theta_{m}(a)$ indeed equals $\pm 1$ or 0 . For a fixed $t$, we chose a minimal $b>0$ such that $(b, d p)=1$ and $\theta_{m}(b) b^{t} \not \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. To find the value of $v(a)$ for $n=1$ (see (6) and (5)), we computed $a^{p-1} \bmod p^{2}$ by employing the 2 -adic expansion of $p-1$ and the residues of $a^{2}, a^{4}, a^{8}, \ldots \bmod p^{2}$.

After computing the numbers $S_{1 k} \bmod p$ we searched for the first nonvanishing number in the sequence $T_{0}^{(1)}, \ldots, T_{p-2}^{(1)} \bmod p$. The cases in which such a number did not exist were afterwards picked out by hand and dealt with on the level $n=2$. The procedure on this level was similar, except that this time the determination of $v(a)$ required computations $\bmod p^{3}$.

## Appendix

## Table 1

The positive values of $\lambda_{\chi}$ for $p=5, \chi=\theta_{m} \omega^{t-1}(t=2$ or 4$)$ and $0<m \leqslant 3147$.

| m | t | $\lambda^{\prime}$ | m | t | $\lambda^{\prime}$ | m | t | $\lambda_{x}$ | m | t | ${ }^{\lambda} \times$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 2 | 1 | 267 | 2 | 2 | 509 | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | 734 | $\because$ | 1 |
| \% | 2 | 2 | 271 | 4 | 1 | 505 | 4 | + | $73 /$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| $\therefore 6$ | $\cdots$ | 1 | $\square 78$ | 4 | 3 | 514 | 4 | ; | 741 | $\because$ | 1 |
| 31 | 2 | 1 | 2s | 2 | 1 | 519 | 4 | 1 | 743 | $\ldots$ | 1 |
| 37 | 2 | $\cdots$ | 2xa | 2 | 1 | 53 | 4 | 3 | $75 \pm$ | $\underset{\sim}{2}$ | 1 |
| 36 | ' | 1. | 287 | 4 | 1 | 526 | $\therefore$ | 1 | 753 | $\div$ | 2 |
| 39 | 4 | 1 | 293 | 2 | 1 | 534 | 4 | 1 | 754 | $\because$ | 1 |
| ${ }^{4} 2$ | 4 | 1 | 298 | 2 | 1 | 537 | 4 | 1 | 758 | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| 51 | 4 | 1. | 298 | 4 | 1 | 541 | 4 | 1 | 759 | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| 53 | 4 | 1 | 307 | 2 | 1 | 5,4 | 4 | 1 | 761 | $\lambda_{r}$ | 1 |
| 59 | 2 | , | 313 | 2 | $\pm$ | 554 | 2 | 1 | 763 | 2 | 1 |
| 6 | 4 | 1 | 31.4 | 4 | $\because$ | 559 | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | 3 | 760 | 2 | 1 |
| 69 | 4 | 1 | 320 | 4 | 1 | 574 | 2 | 1 | 767 | $+$ | 1 |
| 73 | 4 | 1 | 347 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 574 | 4 | 1 | 781 | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| 82 | 4 | I | 35,3 | 2 | 1 | 577 | 2 | 1 | 789 | 4 | 1 |
| 86 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 366 | 4 | 1 | 581 | 2 | 1 | $79 \%$ | 4 | 1. |
| 89 | 4 | 1 | 38\% | $\therefore$ | 1 | 531 | 4 | - | 794 | 2 | 1 |
| 107 | ${ }^{4}$ | 1 | 391 | $\because$ | 1 | 587 | 2 | 1 | 796 | 4 | 1 |
| 109 | 4 | 2 | 398 | \% | 2 | 587 | 4 | $\%$ | 809 | 2 | 1 |
| 114 | 4 | 3 | 401 | 4 | a | 589 | 4 | ] | 814 | 2 | 1 |
| 13 | 2 | 1 | 407 | 4 | 1 | 591 | 2 | 1 | 617 | 4 | 1 |
| 127 | 2 | $\cdots$ | 42 L | 4 | 1 | 597 | 4 | 2 | 82 | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| 127 | 4 | 2 | 426 | 2 | 1 | 602 | 4 | 1 | 339 | 2 | 1 |
| 129 | 2 | I | 426 | 4 | 1 | 606 | $\stackrel{*}{ }$ | 1 | 842 | 2 | 1 |
| 134 | 4 | 1. | 4.7 | 2 | 1 | 611 | 2 | 1 | 851 | 2 | 1 |
| 39 | 4 | , | 427 | 4 | 1 | 617 | 4 | 1 | $85 \%$ | 4 | 1 |
| 1.4 .3 | 4 | 1 | 433 | 4 | $\therefore$ | 62 | : | 1 | 861 | 2 | 2 |
| 149 | 2 | 2 | 4.34 | 4 | 2 | 623 | 4 | 2 | 869 | 4 | \%.. |
| 159 | 2 | 4 | 433 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 626 | 2 | 2 | 874 | 4 | 1 |
| 161 | 4 | 1 | 4.39 | $\stackrel{i}{r}$ | 4 | 626 | 4 | 1 | 881 | 2 |  |
| 183 | 4 | 1 | 446 | $\ddot{2}$ | 2 | ¢27 | 4 | 1 | 881 | 4 | 1 |
| 186 | 4 | 1 | 4.53 | 4 | - | 629 | $\cdots$ | 2 | 887 | $\therefore$ | 1 |
| 187 | $\pm$ | 1 | 457 | $\pm$ | 3 | 629 | 4 | 1 | 889 | 2 | 2 |
| 191 | $\cdots$ | $a$ | 457 | $\stackrel{\square}{4}$ | 1 | 631 | $\because$ | , | 893 | 4 | 1 |
| 191 | 4 | 1 | 458 | 2 | 1. | ¢33 | 2 | 3 | 903 | z | . |
| 199 | 2 | 1. | 460 | 4 | 2 | 634 | $\therefore$ | 1 | 911 | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| 20: | 4 | 1. | 467 | 2 | 1 | 643 | $\because$ | 1 | 917 | $\because$ | 1 |
| 21 | 4 | 1 | $46 ;$ | 4 | 1 | 654 | $\cdots$ | i. | 92 | z | $\because$ |
| 213 | $\ddot{2}$ | 1 | 489 | $\cdots$ | 1. | 66.2 | 4 | 1. | 222 | $\pm$ | 1 |
| 214 | 4 | 1 | 471 | 2 | $\underline{2}$ | 673 | 4 | 1 | 92 | 4 | 1 |
| $\cdots 7$ | $\therefore$ | 1 | 473 | 4 | 1 | 674 | 4 | 1. | 923 | 4 | . |
| 222 | a | 1 | 479 | 2 | 1 | 678 | e | 1 | 920 | \% | $\vdots$ |
| 23 | 2 | 1 | 489 | 4 | 1 | 679 | 2 | 1 | 933 | 4 | $!$ |
| 227 | 2 | 1 | 497 | ${ }^{4}$ | 1 | 681 | 8 | 1 | 937 | 2 | i |
| 237 | 2 | 1 | 4.98 | 2 | 2 | 685 | 2 | 1 | 739 | 2 | 1 |
| 238 | 4 | 1 | 459 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 687 | 4 | 1 | 943 | 4 | ! |
| 241 | 4 | 1 | 497 | 4 | 1 | 609 | $\geq$ | 1 | 6\% | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| 253 | - | . | $50 \%$ | 2 | 1 | 699 | 4 | 1 | 947 | 4 | 1 |
| 257 | 2 | I | 501 | 4. | 1 | 717 | $\because$ | 1 | 947 | 2 | 1 |
| $25 \%$ | 4 | 1 | $50 \%$ | $\because$ | 1 | 710 | 4 | $\therefore$ | 95.7 | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| $\bigcirc 59$ | ${ }^{4}$ | 1. | $50 \%$ | 4 | 1. | $\cdots \square$ | 4 | 1 | 966 | 4 | 1 |

Table 1 （continued）

| m | t | $\lambda_{x}$ | m | t | $\lambda_{x}$ | m | t | $\lambda_{x}$ | m | t | ${ }^{\lambda} \times$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 972 | 2 | 1 | 1914 | 4 | 1 | 1453 | $\therefore$ | 1 | $17^{\circ} 7$ | 4 | $\therefore$ |
| 978 | $\pm$ | 1 | 1217 | $\cdots$ | 1. | 1480 | 4 | 1 | 1798 | 4 | 1 |
| 982 | 4 | － | 1200 | 4 | 1 | 1493 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 1799 | 2 | 1 |
| 983 | 4 | 1 | 1231 | 2 | 3 | 1493 | 4 | ， | 1808 | 4 | 1 |
| 986 | $\because$ | 1 | 1231 | 4 | 1 | 1506 | 2 | 1 | 181\％ | ＋ | $\cdots$ |
| 977 | こ | 1 | 1238 | $\therefore$ | 1 | 1509 | 2 | 1 | 1829 | 2 | 1. |
| 1003 | 2 | 1 | 1238 | 4 | 1 | 1511 | $=$ | 1 | 18.9 | ${ }^{4}$ | j |
| 1006 | 2 | $\therefore$ | 1243 | 4 | 1 | 1514 | $\because$ | 1 | 1.534 | こ | 1 |
| 1007 | 2 | 1 | 1247 | 4 | 2 | 1518 | 2 | 1 | 1834 | 4 | 1 |
| 1018 | 2 | 1 | 1252 | $\because$ | 1 | 1518 | 4 | 3 | $183 \%$ | 2 | 2 |
| 1031 | 4 | 1 | 1.54 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 1.529 | $\therefore$ | $\cdots$ | 1836 | 4 | 1 |
| 1034 | ב | 1 | 1261 | 4 | 1 | 1531 | 2 | 1 | 1846 | 2 | $\cdots$ |
| $103 ;$ | $\dot{2}$ | － | 1262 | 4 | 1 | 1531 | 4 | j | 18.4 .7 | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| 104 | 4 | 1 | 1207 | 4 | 1 | 1533 | 2 | 1 | 1351 | 4 | 1. |
| 1042 | 4 | 1 | 1075 | $\because$ | 1 | 154 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 1553 | $\because$ | 1 |
| 1051 | 4 | 1 | 1279 | 2 | 1 | 1546 | 2 | 1 | 185.3 | 4 | 1 |
| 1059 | $\because$ | 1 | 1279 | 4 | 1 | 1571 | 2 | 1. | 1861 | 4 | 1 |
| 1063 | 2 | 1 | 1281 | 4 | 1 | 1577 | 4 | 1 | 1874 | 4 | 1 |
| 1069 | 2 | 1 | 1289 | 4 | 1 | 1579 | $=$ | 1 | 1882 | 2 | 1 |
| 1073 | 2 | 3 | 1291 | 3 | 1 | 1582 | 2 | 1 | 1991 | 4 | 1 |
| 10174 | 4 | 1. | 1293 | 4 | 1. | 1586 | 4 | ， | 1897 | 4 | 3 |
| 11077 | 2 | $\cdots$ | 1294 | $\because$ | 1 | 1597 | z | 1 | 1898 | 4 | 1 |
| 1079 | 4 | － | 1301 | 4 | 1 | 1597 | 4 | 1 | $190 \%$ | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| 1085 | $4_{4}$ | 2 | 1313 | 4 | 2 | 1621 | 4 | 1. | 1907 | 4 | 1. |
| 108： | 4 | 1 | 1317 | 4 | 3 | 1631 | 2 | 1 | 1913 | $\because$ | 1. |
| 1.093 | 4 | 1 | 1321 | 4 | 2 | 1.631 | 4 | 1 | 1913 | 4 | 1 |
| 1097 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 1327 | 2 | 1 | 1633 | 4 | 2 | 1.914 | 2 | 1 |
| 1106 | 2 | 1 | 1327 | 4 | 1 | 1.637 | 4 | 1 | 1914 | 4 | 1 |
| 1111 | 4 | $\cdots$ | 1338 | 4 | 1 | 1641 | 4 | 1 | $19 \% 1$ | 4 | 1. |
| 1.113 | $\because$ | 1 | 1357 | 4 | 1 | 1654 | 2 | 1. | 1923 | 2 | 1. |
| 1113 | 4 | 1 | 1342 | 2 | 1 | 1658 | 4 | 1 | 1934 | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| 11.4 | 2 | 1 | 1351 | 4 | 1 | 1662 | 4 | 2 | $1.93 \%$ | 2 | 1 |
| 1118 | $\because$ | 1 | 1354 | 2 | 1 | 1603 | 4 | 1 | 1936 | 2 | 1. |
| 1119 | 2 | 1 | 1366 | 2 | 1 | 1686 | 4 | 1. | 19.38 | 4 | 1. |
| 11.1 | $\because$ | 1 | 1366 | 4 | 1. | 1690 | 4 | 1 | 1941 | $\because$ | ； |
| 1122 | 2 | 1 | 1.379 | 2 | 1 | 1702 | 4. | 2 | 1943 | 4 | 3 |
| 1123 | 2 | 1 | 1382 | 4 | 1 | 1713 | 4 | 1 | 1949 | 2 | 2 |
| 1126 | z | 1. | 1389 | 2 | 1 | 1717 | 4 | 1 | 1954 | 2 | 1 |
| 1120 | 4 | 1 | 1389 | 4 | 1 | $17 \pm 1$ | 2 | 1. | 1957 | 2 | 1 |
| 11.7 | 2 | 1 | 1393 | 4 | 2 | 1723 | 4 | 1 | 1959 | 4 | 1 |
| 1131 | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | 1398 | 4 | 1 | 1731 | 4 | 1 | 1960 | $4_{r}$ | 1 |
| 1． 133 | 4 | 1 | 1.401 | 2 | 1 | 1738 | こ | 1 | 1709 | 4 | 1. |
| 1137 | $こ$ | 1. | 1402 | 4 | 2 | 1738 | 4 | 2 | 1973 | 2 | 1 |
| 1137 | 4 | 1. | 14016 | 4 | 1 | 1.739 | 2 | 1 | 1977 | $\therefore$ | 1 |
| 1142 | 2 | 1 | 1407 | 4 | 1 | 1741 | 4 | 1 | 1979 | 2 | ， |
| 1149 | 2 | 1 | 1.420 | 4 | 1 | 1754 | 4 | 1. | 1.982 | 4 | 1 |
| $115 \%$ | 4 | 1 | 14.27 | 4 | 4 | 175\％\％ | $\because$ | 1 | 1986 | 4 | 1 |
| 1169 | 2 | 1 | 1429 | 4 | 1 | 1.758 | $\cdots$ | 1. | 1899 | $\cdots$ | i． |
| 11.73 | 4 | 1 | 1434 | $\cdots$ | ： | 1755 | 4 | 1 | 1999 | ＇ | ． |
| 1．18\％ | 4 | E | 14.34 | 4 | 1 | 1761 | 4 | $\because$ | anmi | $\because$ | 1. |
| 118 | 4 | 1. | $14_{4}^{1} 1.1$ | 4 | 1 | $176{ }^{\circ}$ | 4 | 1 | －n¢e | 2 | 1 |
| 1191 | 4 | 1. | $1+43$ | 4 | 3 | 1766 | 4 | 1 | 200： | 4 | 1 |
| 1195 | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\because$ | 1451 | $\because '$ | i | 1769 | $\because$ | 1 | 2un\％ | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| 11．94 | 4 | 1 | 14，${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4 | 1. | 1777 | 4 | 1. | \％ma | 4 | B |
| 1198 | $\cdots$ | 1 | $1+100$ | a | \％ | 1\％\％ | $\because$ | 1 | 0114 | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| 1203 | 2 | 1 | 1：76 | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | 3 | 178. | 2 | 1 | 007 | － | 1. |
| 121.5 | 4 | 1 | 1.79 | $-1$ | 2 | 17 n （ | ＇ | i | シ0\％ | ； | 1 |

Table 1 （continued）

| m | t | $\lambda_{x}$ | m | t | ${ }^{\lambda} \times$ | m | t | $\lambda_{x}$ | m | t | ${ }^{\lambda} \times$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ソワッ | 2 | $\because$ | 2307 | 2 | 1. | 57.1 | 4 | J | 2878 | ${ }_{4}$ | 1 |
| 031 | 4 | 1 | 230. | $\stackrel{\prime}{\prime}$ | 1. | －5\％ | $\dot{\sim}$ | 1 | 288.2 | 2 | $\because$ |
| 203 | $\dot{+}$ | 1 | 2314 | 2 | 1 | 2573 | 4 | 1 | 2886 | 4 | 1 |
|  | 4 | $\cdot 1$ | 317 | 2 | 1 | 957 | 4. | 1 | 2887 | $\cdots$ | 1 |
|  | $\therefore$ | 1 | 3： 3 | － | 3 | 2578 | 4 | 1 | 29.1 | 4 | 1 |
| 20： | $=$ | 2 | － E | ${ }_{4}$ | a | 579 | 4 | I | 29.4 | $\therefore$ | 2 |
| ． 081 | 4 | 1 | \％\％ | 2 | 1 | 581 | 2 | 1 | $\square 93$ | 4 | 1 |
| $\therefore 085$ | 2 | 1 | 235 | 2 | 1 | 2509 | 4 | 1 | 2927 | $\underline{\square}$ | $\pm$ |
| $\cdots 083$ | ＋ | 1 | 235 | $\therefore$ | 4 | 260\％ | 4 | 1. | 2931 | 4 | 1 |
| 908\％ | 2 | 1 | 254 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | \％u\％ | $\cdots$ | 1 | 2944 | 2 | ： |
| 勺®¢ | $\because$ | 1 | 340 | $\therefore$ | ： | $\bigcirc 609$ | 4. | $\cdots$ | 2947 | ．： | 1 |
| \％09\％ | 2 | 1. | －34． | $\because$ | 1 | 233 | 2 | 1. | 95 | $\because$ | 1 |
| －98 | $\therefore$ | 1 | 0353 | $\therefore$ | $z$ | 2684 | 4 | 1 | 2963 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 4 | 2 | 2554 | $\cdots$ | 1 | $\underline{663}$ | $\because$ | 1 | 2966 | 4 | 1 |
| $\therefore$ | $\because$ | 1 | 559 | 4 | 1 | 26.47 | $\therefore$ | 1 | 2967 | ¥ | $\therefore$ |
| 211 | ； | $\cdots$ | 23¢： | 4 | $\pm$ | 8.54 | 4 | $\cdots$ | 29：1 | 2 | 1 |
| $\cdots$ | 4 | 1 | 0375 | $\because$ | $\because$ | 205： | 4 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 4 | 1 |
| －12 | ＂ | $\cdots$ | 2581 | $\cdots$ | 1 | $0: 661$ | $\therefore$ | 1 | 2974 | $\cdots$ | $\therefore$ |
| 2123 | 2 | 1 | 2380 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 206： | 4 | $\because$ | －983 | ＊ | 2 |
| 210 | $\therefore$ | 1 | 2384 | 4 | 1 | 2669 | $\cdots$ | ， | 2950 | 4 | 2 |
| $21 \%$ | $\because$ | 1 | 2391 | 2 | 1 | 2071 | $?$ | 1 | 2091 | 2 | 1 |
| 2120 | $\therefore$ | 1 | 2301 | 4 | $\cdots$ | 26.71 | 4 | 1 | 2901 | 4 | 1 |
| 2131 | 2 | 1. | 3397 | 4 | 1 | 26es | 4 | 3 | 2993 | 4 | 1 |
| 218 | 2 | 1 | 399 | 4 | 1 | 2680 | $\because$ | 1 | 2904 | 4 | 1 |
| \＃ | 2 | ， | 206 | ＂ | 1 | 2687 | 2 | 1 | 2995 | $\therefore$ | 1 |
| 3.15 | $\because$ | ， | 3411 | 4 | 1 | 2687 | 4 | 1 | 2013 | $\therefore$ | 1 |
| $\therefore 1.3$ | 4 | 1 | 2433 | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | 2694 | 4 | 1 | 3014 | ב－ | a＇ |
| $\cdots 5 \%$ | 4 | 3 | 2438 | $\cdots$ | 三 | 2988 | 4 | 2 | 3023 | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| 258 | 4 | ： | 2438 | 4 | 1 | 2700 | 2 | 1 | 3039 | 4 | ， |
| －159 | $\therefore$ | 1 | 2446 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 2711 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 304， 1 | 4 | 1. |
| $\because 1 r^{1} 1$ | ， | 2 | 3449 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 2\％14 | 2 | 3 | $305:$ | $\because$ | 1 |
| 2171 | 4 | 1 | 2459 | 4 | 2 | $\cdots 7$ | $\because$ | 2 | 3014 | 4 | 1 |
| 497\％ | ： | $\cdots$ | 2462 | $\cdots$ | 2 | 273 | 2 | 3 | 3059 | 4 | 2 |
| 2181 | 2 | 1. | 2471 | 4 | 2 | 2723 | 4 | $\%$ | 3077 | 4 | 1 |
| 二19： | 2 | 1 | 2481 | 2 | 2 | 2731 | 4 | 1 | 508： | 4 | 1 |
| 2189 | 2 | ： | 2482 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 3739 | 4 | 2 | 3090 | $\because$ |  |
| $\cdots 1.39$ | 4. | ； | 2 m 86 | 2 | 1 | $\therefore 741$ | 4 | $=$ | 3104 | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | 2 |
| 2104 | 2 | 1. | 2487 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 2742 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 3102 | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| $\cdots$ | $\because$ | 1 | $2+39$ | $\cdots$ | 5 | 2743 | 4 | 2 | 3103 | ． | 1 |
| \％ | $\cdots$ | 1 | 2496 | 4 | 3 | $\because 740$ | 4 | 1 | 3106 | 2 | 2 |
| \％ | 3 | 1 | 2501 | － | 2 | ．759 | $\because$ | $\pm$ | 3107 | 2 | 1 |
| 9 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 503 | 2 | 1 | 2766 | 2 | 1 | 3111 | 4 | 1. |
| \％ 9 | 2 | 3 | 5503 | 4 | 1 | 2771 | $\therefore$ | 1. | 3113 | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| $3{ }^{3}$ | 4 | 3 | 2509 | 2 | 1 | $27 \%$ | \％ | 1 | $31 \%$ | 2 | 1 |
| $\therefore 3$ | 2 | 1. | 2513 | 4 | 1 | 2778 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 3121 | 4 |  |
| $\cdots$ | 4 | I | －519 | 2 | 1. | 28.03 | 4 | 1. | 3126 | $\because$ | 1 |
| 25： | $\because$ | 1. | $\therefore \sim$ | 4 | $\cdots$ | 2814 | 2 | 1 | 3127 | $\because$ | 1 |
| $\therefore \cdots$ | ＋ | 1 | $25 \%$ | $\because$ | I | 28\％ | 2 | 1 | 3129 | 2 | ， |
| 263 | 2 | 2 | 531 | $\because$ | 1 | \％2\％ | 4 | 1 | 3150 | 4 | 1. |
| 203 | 2 | 1 | 2535 | $\therefore$ | 1 | －62． | 2 | 1 | $313{ }^{2}$ | 4 | 1 |
| $\cdots$ | $\because$ | ： | 2534 | $\cdots$ | 2 | 2829 | 4 | 3 | 3138 | ${ }^{6}$ | 1 |
| －\％ | $\because$ | 1 | 450 | 4 | 3 | 8841 | $\because$ | 1 | $314 \%$ | 2 | 1 |
| $\because 7 \%$ | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc 5.1$ | $\cdots$ | t | 2843 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |
| $\cdots \%$ | $\therefore$ | 1 | －5\％ | － | ， | 2351 | ＋ | 1 |  |  |  |
| 36 | $\because$ | $\cdots$ |  | 4 | 1 | 9859 | $\because$ | 1. |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 1 | $\square 5$ | $\therefore$ | 1 | 2S＂； | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |
| $\because 306$ | $\because$ | 1 | \％ | 4 | 1. | வ̇7 | 2 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |

Table 2
The values of $t, 1 \leqslant t \leqslant p-1$, for which $\lambda_{\chi}>0$ with $\chi=\theta_{m} \omega^{t-1}$, in the region $2<p<200, m=-7,-3,-2,-1,2,5$. The dagger $\left({ }^{\dagger}\right)$ indicates that $\lambda_{x}=2$; in all other cases $\lambda_{x}=1$.

| $p{ }^{m}$ | -7 | $-3$ | -2 | -1 | 2 | 5 | $p^{\prime}{ }^{m}$ | $-7$ | -3 | -2 | -1 | 2 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 101 |  |  |  | 1 63 |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 103 |  | 1 93 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 1 | + | 1 |  | 4 |  | 107 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 64 | 22 |
| 13 |  | $1^{+}$ |  | 1 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86 | 100 |
| 17 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 14 | 109 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 19 |  | 1 | 1 | 11 | 6 | 8 |  | 105 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 1 | 17 | ${ }_{11}^{5}+$ |  |  |  | 113 | 1 65 | 55 | 1 13 109 | 1 |  |  |
| 29 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 127 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 40 | 62 |
|  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  | 127 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 40 56 | 62 |
| 31 |  | 1 |  | 23 | 30 |  | 131 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 32 |
| 37 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 34 |  | 131 |  |  | 51 |  |  | 32 64 |
| 41 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 18 |  |  |  | 57 |  |  |  |
| 43 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 |  |  | 137 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 84 |
| 43 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 |  |  |  | 45 |  | 57 | 43 |  |  |
| 47 | 25 | 13 |  | 15 |  |  |  | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | 1 | 29 |  | 1 |  |  | 139 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 129 |  | 44 |
| 5 | 19 | 45 |  |  |  |  | 139 | 2 | 9.9 | 19 | 129 |  | 104 |
|  | 43 |  |  |  |  |  | 149 | 1 |  | 79 | 1 | 146 | 22 |
| 59 | 33 |  | 1 |  | 34 |  | 14 | 39 |  | 79 | 147 | 146 | 2 |
|  |  |  | 19 |  | 36 |  |  | 103 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 50 |  | 151 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 14 | 66 |
| 61 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 42 | 157 | 101 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 67 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 27 |  | 6 | 163 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 144 |
|  |  | 47 |  |  |  |  | 167 |  |  |  |  |  | 66 |
| 71 | 1 |  |  | 29 | 68 |  | 173 | 13 |  | 121 | 1 | 74 |  |
| 73 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 70 |  | 97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73 | 11 | 1 | 31 | 1 |  | 70 |  | 153 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 79 | 1 | 1 |  | 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |  | 179 | 1 |  | 1 119 |  | 74 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 181 |  | $1^{+}$ |  | 1 |  |  |
| 83 | $53$ |  | 1 1 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \\ 177 \end{array}$ | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
|  | 65 |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 89 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 32 |  | 191 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 10 |
|  |  |  | 33 |  |  |  |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 193 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 97 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 59 |  |  | 75 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 197 | 1 | 179 | 191 | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 183 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 199 |  | 1 |  |  | 186 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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